



**Office of the Inspector General**  
U.S. Department of Justice

**OVERSIGHT ★ INTEGRITY ★ GUIDANCE**



**Examination of the  
U.S. Department of Justice's  
Fiscal Year 2017 Compliance  
under the  
Improper Payments Elimination  
and Recovery Act of 2010**



# Executive Summary

*Examination of the U.S. Department of Justice's Fiscal Year 2017 Compliance under the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010*

## Objective

The *Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010* (IPERA) requires each Office of the Inspector General to determine whether the agency is in compliance with IPERA and to submit a report on that determination. The Department of Justice (Department or DOJ) Office of the Inspector General (OIG) examined the Department's compliance with IPERA for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017.

## Results in Brief

Overall, the Department complied with IPERA for fiscal year 2017. The following table summarizes the Department's compliance status for each requirement.

Compliance Requirements for Agencies:	Department's Compliance
Publish an Agency Financial Report (AFR) or Performance and Accountability Report (PAR) for the most recent fiscal year and post that report and any accompanying materials required by OMB on the agency website.	Compliant
Conduct a program-specific risk assessment for each program or activity that conforms with Section 3321 note of Title 31 U.S.C. (if required).	Compliant
Publish improper payment estimates for all programs and activities identified as susceptible to significant improper payments under its risk assessment (if required).	Compliant
Publish programmatic corrective action plans in the AFR or PAR (if required).	Not Required
Publish, and meet, annual reduction targets for each program assessed to be at risk and estimated for improper payments (if required and applicable).	Not Required and Not Applicable
Report a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for each program and activity for which an improper payment estimate was obtained and published in the AFR or PAR.	Compliant

## Recommendations

No recommendations were provided in the report.

## Examination Results

The OIG examined compliance with the requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-123, *Management's Responsibility for Enterprise Risk Management and Internal Control*, Appendix C, *Requirements for Effective Estimation and Remediation of Improper Payments*; and OMB Circular A-136, *Financial Reporting Requirements*, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017.

The examination was comprised of the OIG gaining an understanding of the Department and component-level controls through inquiry procedures, a review of documentation supporting the information published in the Department's AFR, as well as recalculating the Department's computations.

The Department's annual risk assessment of all programs and activities, not including Hurricane Sandy disaster relief activities, did not identify any programs or activities to be susceptible to significant improper payments for fiscal year 2017. The *Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013* requires that all programs and activities receiving funds for Hurricane Sandy disaster relief activities be automatically considered susceptible to significant improper payments, regardless of any previous improper payment risk assessment results. Based on the Department's testing in prior years, the Department estimated no improper payments for these funds.

Through payment recapture audits in fiscal year 2017, the Department identified for recovery \$9.984 million and recovered \$8.529 million in current and prior year improper payments, which is an annual improper payment recovery rate of 85.4 percent. Outside of payment recapture audits, the OIG, through its audits, identified \$1.951 million in additional improper payments, and the Department recovered \$2.451 million of current and prior year improper payments, which is an annual improper payments recovery rate of 125.6 percent.

**EXAMINATION OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S  
FISCAL YEAR 2017 COMPLIANCE UNDER THE IMPROPER  
PAYMENTS ELIMINATION AND RECOVERY ACT OF 2010**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

BACKGROUND ..... 1

EXAMINATION RESULTS ..... 3

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S INDEPENDENT REPORT ON COMPLIANCE  
UNDER THE IMPROPER PAYMENTS ELIMINATION AND RECOVERY ACT OF  
2010 ..... 5

APPENDIX 1: OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY ..... 7

APPENDIX 2: IMPROPER PAYMENTS REPORTING IN THE FISCAL YEAR 2017  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AGENCY FINANCIAL REPORT ..... 8

# EXAMINATION OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S FISCAL YEAR 2017 COMPLIANCE UNDER THE IMPROPER PAYMENTS ELIMINATION AND RECOVERY ACT OF 2010

## BACKGROUND

On July 22, 2010, the President of the United States signed into law the *Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010* (IPERA); and on January 10, 2013, the President signed into law the *Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act of 2012* (IPERIA), both of which amended the *Improper Payments Information Act of 2002* (IPIA). IPERA expanded the scope of IPIA beyond commercial payments to include more payment types, such as grants and cooperative agreements, and benefit and assistance payments. IPERA also required agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ or Department), to annually report information on improper payments to the President and Congress through their Agency Financial Report (AFR). IPERIA further expanded the types of payments to be considered to include employee disbursements and government charge card payments. It also required agencies to have implemented prepayment and pre-award procedures that include verifying all vendor payments through the Do Not Pay system by June 1, 2013.

The *Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013* (Disaster Relief Act), signed by the President on January 29, 2013, provided a total of \$50.5 billion in aid for Hurricane Sandy disaster victims and their communities. The Disaster Relief Act deemed these funds to be susceptible to significant improper payments and requires agencies supporting Hurricane Sandy recovery, and other disaster-related activities, to implement additional internal controls to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse of these funds. Beginning on September 30, 2013, each agency head is required to make an annual certification that the appropriate policies and controls are in place and that corrective actions have been taken to mitigate the risk of fraud and inappropriate spending practices regarding activities and expenses related to Hurricane Sandy disaster relief. Since these funds have been deemed susceptible to significant improper payments, each agency is also required to produce and report an improper payment estimate, to the extent possible.

Agencies are required to assess every federal program and dollar disbursed for improper payment risk, measure the accuracy of payments, and initiate program improvements to ensure payment errors are reduced. Specifically, they are required to review all programs and activities and identify those that are susceptible to significant improper payments. For those programs or activities that are deemed susceptible to significant improper payments, either by the agency or by law, the agency must obtain a statistically valid estimate of the annual amount of improper payments and thereafter implement a plan to reduce improper payments. Agencies must annually report in the AFR their progress in reducing improper payments. In fiscal year 2017, federal agencies reported \$141 billion in estimated improper payments.

In addition to reporting the estimated annual amount of improper payments for programs or activities susceptible to significant improper payments, IPERA requires agencies to conduct payment recapture audits for each program and activity that expends \$1 million or more annually, if conducting such audits is cost effective. Agencies must have a cost-effective program of internal controls to prevent, detect, and recover overpayments resulting from payment errors. All agencies are required to establish annual targets for their payment recapture audit programs that will drive annual performance.

Each fiscal year, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) of each agency is responsible for determining whether the agency is in compliance with the improper payment reporting requirements, as set forth in Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-123, *Management's Responsibility for Enterprise Risk Management and Internal Control*, Appendix C, *Requirements for Effective Estimation and Remediation of Improper Payments*; and OMB Circular A-136, *Financial Reporting Requirements*. The OIG is required to complete its assessment and submit a report, within 180 days after issuance of the AFR, on its determination to the head of the agency, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the U.S. Senate, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Comptroller General, and the Controller of OMB.

The OIG's responsibility, as described in OMB Circular A-123, Appendix C, and as related to a compliance examination, is to determine an agency's compliance under IPERA. Compliance under IPERA means that the Department has: (1) published an AFR for the most recent fiscal year and posted that report and any accompanying materials required by OMB on the Department's website; (2) conducted a program-specific risk assessment for each program or activity that conforms with Section 3321 note of Title 31 U.S.C. (if required); (3) published improper payment estimates for all programs and activities identified as susceptible to significant improper payments under its risk assessment (if required); (4) published programmatic corrective action plans in the AFR (if required); (5) published, and is meeting, annual reduction targets for each program assessed to be at risk and estimated for improper payments (if required and applicable); and (6) reported a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for each program and activity for which an improper payment estimate was obtained and published in the AFR. If the OIG identifies any non-compliance with the items noted above, these issues are to be documented in the *Independent Report on Compliance under the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010* and the Department would be deemed to be non-compliant under IPERA.

Additionally, OMB Circular A-123, Appendix C, states that the OIG "may also evaluate the accuracy and completeness of agency reporting, and evaluate agency performance in reducing and recapturing improper payments." The Circular goes on to say, "As part of its report, the agency Inspector General may include its evaluation of agency efforts to prevent and reduce improper payments, and any recommendations for actions to further improve the agency's or program's performance in reducing improper payments; corrective actions; or internal controls." We considered these additional procedures while performing the examination.

## EXAMINATION RESULTS

The Department reviewed the requirements of IPERA, as well as OMB Circular A-123, Appendix C, and OMB Circular A-136, to collect and publish information on the Department's improper payments as of September 30, 2017 in its AFR (item 1 above). The Department conducted a risk assessment (item 2 above) of its five self-identified programs to determine if any were deemed to be susceptible to significant improper payments, defined as gross annual improper payments in the program exceeding the statutory thresholds of both 1.5 percent of program outlays and \$10 million, or \$100 million. Based on the results of its risk assessment, not including Hurricane Sandy disaster relief activities, the Department determined that it did not have any programs or activities that were susceptible to significant improper payments as of September 30, 2017. Under the Disaster Relief Act all programs and activities receiving Hurricane Sandy disaster relief funds are automatically deemed susceptible to significant improper payments, regardless of any previous improper payment risk assessment results. Two Department programs received Hurricane Sandy disaster relief funds – the Law Enforcement Program, and Prisons and Detention Program. The Department published a gross estimate (item 3 above) of \$0 for its annual amount of improper payments and estimated the improper payment rate (item 6 above) at 0 percent for disbursements made with Hurricane Sandy disaster relief funds. As a result of the Department's risk assessment that did not identify any programs or activities to be susceptible to significant improper payments, and for those risk-susceptible activities funded by the Disaster Relief Act, which the Department tested and identified no improper payments, the Department was not required to include the following information in its AFR: programmatic corrective actions plans, and annual reduction targets for programs at risk (items 4 and 5 above, respectively).

The following table summarizes the Department’s compliance status for each requirement. We provided no recommendations in this report.

**Table 1**  
**Department’s Compliance with IPERA**

Compliance Requirements for Agencies:	Department’s Compliance
Item 1. Publish an Agency Financial Report (AFR) or Performance and Accountability Report (PAR) for the most recent fiscal year and post that report and any accompanying materials required by OMB on the agency website.	Compliant
Item 2. Conduct a program-specific risk assessment for each program or activity that conforms with Section 3321 note of Title 31 U.S.C. (if required).	Compliant
Item 3. Publish improper payment estimates for all programs and activities identified as susceptible to significant improper payments under its risk assessment (if required).	Compliant
Item 4. Publish programmatic corrective action plans in the AFR or PAR (if required).	Not Required
Item 5. Publish, and meet, annual reduction targets for each program assessed to be at risk and estimated for improper payments (if required and applicable).	Not Required and Not Applicable
Item 6. Report a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for each program and activity for which an improper payment estimate was obtained and published in the AFR or PAR.	Compliant

Source: DOJ OIG analysis

Through payment recapture audits in fiscal year 2017, the Department identified for recovery \$9.984 million and recovered \$8.529 million in current and prior year improper payments, which is an annual improper payment recovery rate of 85.4 percent. Outside of payment recapture audits, the OIG, through its audits, identified \$1.951 million in additional improper payments, and the Department recovered \$2.451 million of current and prior year improper payments, which is an annual improper payments recovery rate of 125.6 percent.



**OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S INDEPENDENT REPORT  
ON COMPLIANCE UNDER THE IMPROPER PAYMENTS  
ELIMINATION AND RECOVERY ACT OF 2010**

United States Attorney General  
U.S. Department of Justice


We have examined the U.S. Department of Justice's (Department) compliance with the requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-123, *Management's Responsibility for Enterprise Risk Management and Internal Control*, Appendix C, *Requirements for Effective Estimation and Remediation of Improper Payments*; and OMB Circular A-136, *Financial Reporting Requirements* as they relate to the *Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010*, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017. Management is responsible for the Department's compliance with these specified requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Department's compliance with the specified requirements based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Department complied, in all material respects, with the specified requirements referenced above. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the Department complied with the specified requirements. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the Department's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the Department complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017.



The purpose of this report is solely to provide the results of our examination to Department management, the Office of Management and Budget, U.S. Government Accountability Office, and the U.S. Congress. This report is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Kelly A. McFadden" with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Kelly A. McFadden, CPA  
Director, Financial Statement Audit Office  
Office of the Inspector General  
U.S. Department of Justice

May 7, 2018

## OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

The DOJ OIG performed an examination of the Department's compliance with IPERA, in accordance with OMB Circular A-123, *Management's Responsibility for Enterprise Risk Management and Internal Control*, Appendix C, *Requirements for Effective Estimation and Remediation of Improper Payments*; and OMB Circular A-136, *Financial Reporting Requirements*, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017. Pursuant to IPERA, we were required to determine whether the agency is in compliance with IPERA and to submit a report on that determination. Compliance under IPERA means that the Department has: (1) published an AFR for the most recent fiscal year and posted that report and any accompanying materials required by OMB on the Department's website; (2) conducted a program-specific risk assessment for each program or activity that conforms with Section 3321 note of Title 31 U.S.C. (if required); (3) published improper payment estimates for all programs and activities identified as susceptible to significant improper payments under its risk assessment (if required); (4) published programmatic corrective action plans in the AFR (if required); (5) published, and is meeting, annual reduction targets for each program assessed to be at risk and estimated for improper payments (if required and applicable); and (6) reported a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for each program and activity for which an improper payment estimate was obtained and published in the AFR. If the OIG identifies any non-compliance with the items noted above, these issues are to be documented in the *Independent Report on Compliance under the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010* and the Department would be deemed to be non-compliant under IPERA.

We performed an examination of the Payment Integrity information section published in the Department's FY 2017 AFR to determine compliance with IPERA. The Department's improper payments information was for the period ended September 30, 2017. To determine compliance with IPERA, we gained an understanding of the Department and component-level controls through inquiry procedures, reviewed documentation supporting the information published in the Department's AFR, as well as re-performed calculations computed by the Department to populate the improper payment information published in the AFR.

The OIG conducted the examination and prepared its report in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. In determining the level of assurance, we considered the requirements outlined in OMB Circular A-123, Appendix C, and OMB Circular A-136; the expectations of the users of the report; and any potential risks associated with performing the engagement. We performed a compliance examination due to the higher level of assurance it provides, the result of which is the expression of an opinion.

The OIG is not independent with respect to amounts pertaining to OIG operations that are presented in the improper payments reporting. However, the amounts included for the OIG are not material to the Department's improper payments reporting, and the OIG is organizationally independent with respect to all other aspects of the Department's activities.

## IMPROPER PAYMENTS REPORTING IN THE FISCAL YEAR 2017 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AGENCY FINANCIAL REPORT

### Payment Integrity

The Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (IPIA), as amended, requires agencies to annually report certain information on improper payments to the President and Congress through their annual Agency Financial Report (AFR) or Performance and Accountability Report (PAR).<sup>1</sup> The Department provides the following improper payments reporting as required by the IPIA, as amended; OMB Circular A-123, Appendix C, *Requirements for Effective Estimation and Remediation of Improper Payments*; and OMB Circular A-136, *Financial Reporting Requirements*. See <https://paymentaccuracy.gov/> for more detailed information on improper payments and all of the information previously reported in the AFR that is not reported in this AFR.

#### I. Payment Reporting.

**For all programs and activities as determined under OMB Circular A-123 Appendix C, Part 1.A.9. Step 2, agencies shall identify the:**

- **estimated amount of payments that were properly paid, improperly paid, and the corresponding percent for each by program or activity for the current fiscal year;**
- **estimated amount of improper payments that resulted in an overpayment, an underpayment, and the corresponding percent for each by program or activity for the current fiscal year;**
- **estimated amount of improper payments made directly by the Government and the amount of improper payments made by recipients of Federal money by program or activity for the current fiscal year;**
- **root cause for overpayments and underpayments by amount and by program or activity for the current fiscal year;**
- **for programs and activities as determined under OMB Circular A-123, Appendix C, Part 1.A.9. Step 2 with improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds listed in OMB Circular A-123, Appendix C, Part I.A.9. Step 1, the agency shall describe the corrective action plans for reducing the estimated improper payment rate and amount, and each of the corrective actions should be clearly linked to the root cause(s) they are addressing; and**
- **reduction targets by program or activity for the next fiscal year.**

Based on the results of the FY 2017 Department-wide risk assessment, there were no programs susceptible to significant improper payments, i.e., improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds of (1) both 1.5 percent of program outlays and \$10 million or (2) \$100 million.

#### II. Recapture of Improper Payments Reporting.

- a. **Agencies shall discuss payment recapture audit (or recovery auditing) efforts. The discussion should describe:**

---

<sup>1</sup> The IPIA was amended by the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010 (IPERA) and the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act of 2012 (IPERIA).

- **the actions and methods used by the agency to recoup overpayments;**
- **a justification of any overpayments that have been determined not to be collectible;**
- **any conditions giving rise to improper payments and how those conditions are being resolved; and**
- **any programs or activities excluded from review under the agency's payment recapture audit program.**

The Department's payment recapture audit program is part of its overall program of internal control over disbursements. The program includes establishing and assessing internal controls to prevent improper payments, reviewing disbursements to identify improper payments, assessing root causes of improper payments, developing corrective action plans, and tracking the recapture of improper payments and disposition of recaptured funds. The scope of the program includes all payment types required by IPIA, as amended, and OMB implementing guidance. Payments that could compromise law enforcement operations or endanger the safety of personnel are excluded because of the Department's responsibility to protect such information. In FY 2017, two components used a recapture audit contractor to supplement internal review efforts to detect improper payments.

The Department's top-down approach for tracking and reporting the results of payment recapture audit activities promotes consistency across the Department in implementing the expanded requirements of the IPIA, as amended. In FY 2017, the Department provided components a template to assist them in assessing root causes of improper payments and tracking the recapture of such payments and disposition of recaptured funds.

The root causes for overpayments other than for grants largely fell within the OMB-defined error category of *Administrative or Process Error Made by Federal Agency*. Most errors were user errors, including data entry errors. Department components have implemented actions to address specific areas where improvements could be made. For example, to prevent improper payments, the DEA conducts data analytics on payment data entered into the Unified Financial Management System prior to processing disbursements to identify payments that, if processed, would be improper, e.g., payments to ineligible recipients, payments for ineligible services, and duplicate payments. To reduce data entry errors, the FBI increased its use of electronic billing and consolidation of invoices.

The root causes for grant overpayments largely fell within the OMB-defined error categories of *Administrative or Process Error Made by State or Local Agency* and *Administrative or Process Error Made by Other Party*. Most errors involved payments for which grantees did not provide sufficient documentation to support the payments. To reduce the risk of these types of overpayments, the Department components that issue grants expanded training and communications informing grantees of their responsibilities related to receiving Federal awards. For example, the OJP requires all grantees responsible for improper payments to submit written policies and procedures describing the internal controls put in place to prevent similar occurrences in the future.

Department components also have taken actions to facilitate the recapture of improper payments. For example, the FBI produces an accounts receivable report to track the age and collection efforts for all uncollected improper payments. The ATF issues demand letters to debtors notifying them of the status of the debt, the payment due date, where to send payment, and the collection actions the ATF can pursue.

In accordance with the IPIA, as amended, and OMB implementing guidance, the Department measured payment recapture performance. Based on performance through the period ended

September 30, 2017, the Department achieved an annual payment recapture rate of 85.4 percent. The table on the following page provides additional detail on the \$9.984 million in overpayments identified in FY 2017 through the Department’s payment recapture audit program and the \$8.529 million of recaptured funds. Of the \$9.984 million in overpayments, management determined \$0.135 million (approximately 1.4 percent) to be not collectible, the majority of which related to unallowable expenditures by two grantees in fiscal distress (\$0.118 million or 87.6 percent).

- b. For each program or activity that expends \$1 million or more annually and either conducts a payment recapture audit or recaptures payments outside of a payment recapture audit, report the amount recaptured through recapture audits and amounts recaptured through sources other than payment recapture audits, including the percent such amounts represent of the total overpayments identified for recapture.**

The table on the following page provides a summary of overpayments identified in FY 2017 through the Department’s payment recapture audit activities (\$9.984 million), as well as overpayments identified outside of such activities, i.e., through audits conducted by the DOJ OIG (\$1.951 million).<sup>2</sup> The table also provides the amounts recaptured through payment recapture audits and outside of payment recapture audits, as well as the percent such amounts represent of the total overpayments identified for recapture.

**Overpayment Recaptures with and without Recapture Audit Programs  
(Dollars in Millions)**

DOJ Mission-Aligned Program	Overpayments Recaptured through Payment Recapture Audits			Overpayments Recaptured outside of Payment Recapture Audits <sup>3</sup>		
	Amount Identified	Amount Recaptured	FY 2017 Recapture Rate (%)	Amount Identified	Amount Recaptured	FY 2017 Recapture Rate (%)
Administrative, Technology, and Other	\$2.117	\$2.263	106.9% <sup>4</sup>	\$0.000	\$0.000	0.0%
Litigation	\$1.598	\$1.226	76.7%	\$0.051	\$0.507	994.1% <sup>4</sup>
Law Enforcement	\$1.492	\$0.878	58.8%	\$0.008	\$0.008	100.0%
State, Local, Tribal, and Other Assistance	\$2.434	\$1.661	68.2%	\$1.811	\$1.633	90.2%
Prisons and Detention	\$2.343	\$2.501	106.7% <sup>4</sup>	\$0.081	\$0.303	374.1% <sup>4</sup>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$9.984</b>	<b>\$8.529</b>	<b>85.4%</b>	<b>\$1.951</b>	<b>\$2.451</b>	<b>125.6%</b>

<sup>2</sup> The overpayments identified through audits conducted by the OIG do not include all questioned costs. When questioned costs are identified in an OIG audit report, Department management initiates a process to validate whether the costs in question were improper payments; e.g., the Department will request additional support from grantees for transactions that, at the time of audit, were not supported by adequate documentation. The validation process can take months, and in some cases years, to complete. Therefore, for payment recapture audit reporting purposes, improper payments identified for recapture include only the questioned costs for which Department management has completed the validation process and determined that the incurred costs should not have been charged to the Government and should be recaptured from the grantee.

<sup>3</sup> The information in this section of the table provides the overpayments identified through audits conducted by the DOJ OIG and the amounts recaptured. Although the overpayments are identified outside of the Department’s payment recapture audit program, component processes to recapture improper payments are the same, regardless of whether they are identified by the OIG or through component payment recapture audit activities.

<sup>4</sup> The improper payments recaptured exceeded the improper payments identified due to the amount recaptured during FY 2017 of improper payments identified in previous years.

- c. **Agencies shall provide a summary of how their overpayments recaptured through payment recapture audits were used; the summary shall include the disposition of amounts recaptured through payment recapture audits.**

The following table provides the disposition information for the overpayments recaptured in FY 2017 through the Department’s payment recapture audit activities. As shown in the table, \$8.521 million of the \$8.529 million recaptured (or 99 percent) was returned to the original purpose for which the payments were made.

**Disposition of Funds Recaptured through Payment Recapture Audits  
(Dollars in Millions)**

DOJ Mission-Aligned Program	Amount Recaptured in FY 2017	Disposition	
		Returned to Original Purpose	Agency Expenses to Administer the Program
Administrative, Technology, and Other	\$2.263	\$2.263	\$0.000
Litigation	\$1.226	\$1.226	\$0.000
Law Enforcement	\$0.878	\$0.870	\$0.008
State, Local, Tribal, and Other Assistance	\$1.661	\$1.661	\$0.000
Prisons and Detention	\$2.501	\$2.501	\$0.000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$8.529</b>	<b>\$8.521</b>	<b>\$0.008</b>

- d. **Agencies shall provide an aging schedule of the amount of overpayments identified through the payment recapture audit program that are outstanding, including the percent such amounts represent of the total overpayments identified through payment recapture audits, i.e., overpayments that have been identified but not recaptured. Agencies should also report the amount determined to be not collectible, including the percent such amount represents of the total overpayments of the agency.**

The following table provides the aging schedule for the \$2.232 million in overpayments identified in FY 2017 through payment recapture audits that were outstanding (not recaptured) as of the end of FY 2017. Of the \$2.232 million, the majority (\$1.521 or 68.1 percent) were outstanding 0 to 6 months; \$0.711 million (or approximately 31.9 percent) were outstanding 6 months to 1 year. As reported previously in this section in II.a., management determined 1.4 percent of the total overpayments identified in FY 2017 through payment recapture audits to be not collectible.

**Aging of Outstanding Overpayments Identified through Payment Recapture Audits  
(Dollars in Millions)**

DOJ Mission-Aligned Program	Amount Outstanding (0 to 6 months)	Amount Outstanding (6 months to 1 year)	Amount Outstanding (over 1 year)	Amount Determined to be Not Collectible
Administrative, Technology, and Other	\$0.001	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000
Litigation	\$0.030	\$0.362	\$0.000	\$0.000
Law Enforcement	\$0.815	\$0.075	\$0.000	\$0.017
State, Local, Tribal, and Other Assistance	\$0.577	\$0.264	\$0.000	\$0.118
Prisons and Detention	\$0.098	\$0.010	\$0.000	\$0.000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1.521</b>	<b>\$0.711</b>	<b>\$0.000</b>	<b>\$0.135</b>
<i>Percent of FY 2017 Total Overpayments</i>	15.2%	7.1%	0.0%	1.4%

### III. Barriers.

**Agencies with programs and activities with improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds shall describe any statutory or regulatory barriers that may limit the agency's corrective actions in reducing improper payments and actions taken by the agency to mitigate the barriers' effects.**

Not applicable. Based on the results of the FY 2017 Department-wide risk assessment, there were no programs and activities with improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds.

### IV. Accountability.

**Agencies with programs and activities with improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds shall describe the steps the agency has taken and plans to take to ensure that agency managers, accountable officers, program officials/owners, and States and localities (where appropriate) are held accountable for reducing and recapturing improper payments through annual performance appraisal criteria. Specifically, they should be held accountable for meeting applicable improper payment reduction targets and establishing and maintaining sufficient internal controls that effectively prevent improper payments from being made and promptly detect and recapture any improper payments that are made.**

Not applicable. Based on the results of the FY 2017 Department-wide risk assessment, there were no programs and activities with improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds.

### V. Agency Information Systems and Other Infrastructure.

**Agencies with programs and activities with improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds shall describe whether the agency has the internal controls, human capital, and information systems and other infrastructure it needs to reduce improper payments to the levels the agency has targeted. If the agency does not have such internal controls, human capital, and information systems and other infrastructure, describe the resources the agency requested in its most recent budget submission to Congress to establish and maintain the necessary internal controls, human capital, and information systems and other infrastructure.**

Not applicable. Based on the results of the FY 2017 Department-wide risk assessment, there were no programs and activities with improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds.

### VI. Sampling and Estimation.

**Agencies with programs and activities with improper payments exceeding the statutory thresholds shall briefly describe the statistical sampling process conducted to estimate the improper payment rate for each program identified as being susceptible to significant improper payments.**

Based on the results of the FY 2017 Department-wide risk assessment, there were no programs susceptible to significant improper payments. This remains unchanged from FY 2016. Two Department programs received funds under the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Disaster Relief Act) – the Law Enforcement Program and the Prisons and Detention Program. Payments made with those funds are subject to the sampling and estimation requirements mentioned above. However, due to the limited number of FY 2016 payments made with Disaster Relief Act funds, the Department chose to test 100 percent of those payments rather than a sample. No improper payments were identified; therefore, the improper payment rate for payments made with Disaster Relief Act funds is zero percent.



The Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General (DOJ OIG) is a statutorily created independent entity whose mission is to detect and deter waste, fraud, abuse, and misconduct in the Department of Justice, and to promote economy and efficiency in the Department's operations.

To report allegations of waste, fraud, abuse, or misconduct regarding DOJ programs, employees, contractors, grants, or contracts please visit or call the **DOJ OIG Hotline** at [oig.justice.gov/hotline](https://oig.justice.gov/hotline) or (800) 869-4499.

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL**

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest  
Suite 4760  
Washington, DC 20530 0001

**Website**  
[oig.justice.gov](https://oig.justice.gov)

**Twitter**  
[@JusticeOIG](https://twitter.com/JusticeOIG)

**YouTube**  
[JusticeOIG](https://www.youtube.com/JusticeOIG)

Also at [Oversight.gov](https://Oversight.gov)